## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

MAY 15 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	United	States of America,	)	Case No. 3. 23 - CR - 00086 1559
		Plaintiff, v.	)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
	Kay	V.  JUNE  Defendant(s).	) ) )	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 5 15 23, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 5 15 23 to 128 23 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):				
		Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	l be like	ly to result in a miscarriage of justice.
n		defendants, the nature of the	prosecut ect adec	the number of tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
*		Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of d	deny tl lue dilig	he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
		Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case com See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreas mitment	onably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given s, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	$\checkmark$	Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreas taking i	conably deny the defendant the reasonable time into account the exercise of due diligence.
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).			
	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
	DATI	ED: <u>5/15/23</u>		Kandia A. Westmore
	C(TEXTS)	ULATED: Que Com	2	United States Magistrate Judge
	STIP	Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney